Food Chemistry 114 (2009) 1391–1395

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Food Chemistry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodchem

Molecular mass distribution of dextran in Brazilian sugar and insoluble deposits of cachaça

Francisco W.B. Aquino, Douglas W. Franco *

Instituto de Química de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, Avenida do Trabalhador São Carlense 400, CP 780, CEP 13560-970, São Carlos – SP, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 17 July 2008
Received in revised form 25 September 2008
Accepted 7 November 2008

Keywords:
Dextran
Sugar cane
Cachaça
SEC

ABSTRACT

The dextran molecular mass distribution profile in 77 sugar samples from Brazil and twelve insoluble deposits (alcoholic flocks) samples from sugared cachaças (Brazilian sugar cane spirit) is described in terms of number-average molecular mass M_n, weight-average molecular mass M_w, *Z*-average molecular mass M_z, and polydispersity. The analyses were performed by size-exclusion chromatography, using a refractive index detector. In most of the sugar samples, it was possible to identify two major groups of dextrans with M_w averages of 5×10^6 and 5×10^4 Da. Based on the evaluated parameters, the dextran distribution profile is about the same in samples analyzed over five seasons, and, therefore, it is likely that the Brazilian product pattern will not change very much over the years. In insoluble deposits from sugared cachaças, dextrans with M_w values in the order of the 10^5 Da were the most frequent ones, being present in 58% of the samples.

1. Introduction

Brazilian sugar production in the 2006/2007 crop season was approximately 30.7 million tons, and the Brazilian southeast region accounted for 26.4 million tons. São Paulo state, which is located in the southeast, accounts for about 70% of the production of its region. The Brazilian northeast region accounts for the remaining 4.3 million tons (Rocha, 2007; Brasil – Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento, 2008). The ethanol production in the same period was about 22.2×10^9 m^3; and 91.1% of production was in the southeast.

Brazilian sugar cane spirit, which is commonly known as cachaça, is the third most abundant industrial product from sugar cane with an estimated production of 2×10^9 l per year. It is only overcome by vodka and soju productions (Aquino, Boso, Cardoso, & Franco, 2008). At this time, less than 20 million litres of cachaça are exported per year (Aquino et al., 2008; Fernandes et al., 2007).

Today, Brazil is the world's largest sugar cane producer and exporter, respectively accounting for 13% and 45% of the world's production and exportation (ISO – International Sugar Organization, 2007; Rocha, 2007). Brazil is also the largest sugar consumer, with an average *per capita* consumption of 59.4 ± 0.2 kilogrammes within the period 2004 to 2006 (ISO – International Sugar Organization, 2007). Food manufacturers, including those that produce carbonated drinks, chocolate, ice cream, and the like, account for approximately 35–45% of the sugar consumption, while domestic use accounts for the remaining 55–65% (Bolling & Suarez, 2001).

Considering Brazil's large territorial area, the suitable climate for sugar cane production, the accumulated knowledge of sugar cane cultivation, the development of new sugar cane varieties, and the fact that crops are continuously harvested over the year (from April to November in the southeast, and from September to March in the northeast), Brazil is enhancing its production and exportation potential in sugar and sugar cane derivates (Bolling & Suarez, 2001; Baldani, Reis, Baldani, & Döbereiner, 2002; Rocha 2007). Therefore, strict quality policies to control sugar cane and its products should be rigorously followed and improved, whenever possible.

The quality of the sugar cane crops supplying the factories is a critical point in production costs and product quality (Eggleston, Legendre, & Tew, 2004; Rauh, Cuddihy, Falgout, & Marquette, 2003). In sugar cane juices, compounds, such as mannitol and isomaltooligosaccharides (Eggleston & Harper, 2006; Eggleston et al., 2004), were suggested as chemical indicators of cane degradation, and, consequently, they have been used to predict and control processing problems in sugar production plants. However, in Brazil, dextran is the most common contaminant indicator used for quality control purposes in the sugar cane industry (Oliveira, Rinaldi, Tamanini, Voll, & Hauly, 2002).

Dextran $(C_6H_{10}O_5)_n$ is synthesized from sucrose by dextransucrase enzymes which are excreted by microorganisms such as *Streptococcus*, *Lactobacillus* and *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*, the last of these being the predominant species in sugar cane fields. The glucose monomers are predominantly linked by α(1,6) bonds in

* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +55 16 3373 9976.
E-mail address: douglas@iqsc.usp.br (D.W. Franco).

0308-8146/$ - see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.11.019

their major chains with a variable percentage of α(1,3) and occasional α(1,2) or α(1,4) branched linkages (Naessens, Cerdobbel, Soetaert, & Vandamme, 2005). The presence of dextran is associated with operational problems in sugar mills (Jiménez, 2005; Khalikova, Susi, & Korpela, 2005; Rauh et al., 2003; Ravno & Purchase, 2006), and with spoilage in other food industries, such as candy and chocolate manufacture (Edye, 2004; Haynes, Zhou, & Hopkins, 2004; Ravno & Purchase, 2006). Furthermore, since sugar cane is used as a sweetener in alcoholic and soft drinks, the presence of dextran could lead to the formation of haze and precipitations (Edye, 2004; Rodrigues-Filho et al., 2007).

Despite the relevance of the problems caused by the presence of dextrans in sugar in the food and beverage industries, the dextran molecular mass distribution profile in Brazilian processed sugar has not yet been reported. Aiming to contribute to the development of sugar cane technology, we present here the dextran molecular mass distribution profile in Brazilian sugar and isolated insoluble deposits (alcoholic flocks) from sugared cachaças in terms of number-average molecular mass M_n, weight-average molecular mass M_w, *Z*-average molecular mass M_z, and polydispersity (M_w/M_n) (Chmelík, Chmelíková, & Novotny, 1997; Karmarkar, Garber, Klusa, & Koberda, 2006; Kostanski, Keller, & Hamielec, 2004).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples

A general picture of the dextran molecular mass distribution profile was obtained for the 2006/2007 season by analyzing 25 sugar samples from São Paulo (SP) state, which is the largest producer in the southeast (responsible for 70% of the production in its region), and twelve sugar samples from the northeast. The production sites in São Paulo state and their respective number of samples were: Catanduva (6), Jaboticabal (4), Sertãozinho (2), Quatá (2), Rincão (2), Lençóis Paulista (2), Ribeirão Preto (2), São Carlos (1), Araras (1), Cerquilho (1), Itapira (1), Mococa (1). The samples were provided by Centro de Tecnologia Canavieira – CTC (Piracicaba, SP). The providers of sugar samples from the northeast, which are sugar mills, and their production sites are: Alteza I (Ipojuca, PE), Alteza II (Rio Formoso, PE), Caeté (São Miguel dos Campos, AL), Coruripe cristal (Coruripe, AL), Coruripe demerara (Coruripe, AL), Estrela (Arês, RN), Titara (São Luiz do Quitunde, AL), Sublime (Primavera, PE), Coceal (Vitória da Conquista, BA), Singular (Alvorada, BA), and Padin (Itabuna, BA). Regarding the samples from the northeast, all the sugar mills provided one sugar sample, except for Caeté, which provided two sugar samples.

The possible variations in the dextran molecular weight distribution profile in sugar samples during four consecutives cane crops (seasons 1997/1998, 1998/1999, 1999/2000, 2000/2001), were evaluated in an additional forty samples, which were collected from ten representative sugar mills in the southeast region. These sugar samples from the producers listed were supplied and certified by the Instituto de Tecnologia de Alimentos – ITAL (Campinas, SP). The producers were: Alvorada (Araporã, MG), Cresciumal (Leme, SP), Da Pedra (Descalvado, SP), Ipiranga (Descalvado, SP), Santa Rosa (Boituva, SP), São Luiz (Ourinhos, SP), Quatá (Quatá, SP), Santa Adélia (Jaboticabal, SP), Rafard (Rafard, SP), and Jacarezinho (Jacarezinho, PR). Thus, for every season, one sample from each plant was collected.

The insoluble deposits were collected from commercial sugared cachaças available in our Laboratory collection, produced in the following cities: Tanabi (SP), Campina Grande (PB), Patus (PB), Candido Mota (SP), Fortaleza (CE), Colônia de Leopodina (AL), São José dos Pinhais (PR), Jandaia do Sul (PR), São Paulo (SP), Tabatinga (SP), Vitória do Santo Antão (PE), and Sorocaba (SP).

2.2. Chemicals

Dextran calibration reference standards M_w 2,100,000, 4,200,000, 5,900,000 and 7,400,000, were purchased from American Polymer Standards (Mentor, OH, USA), and those of M_w 11,600, 23,800, 48,300, 148,000, 410,000 and 1,100,000 were purchased from Waters (Milford, MA, USA). Ethanol (anhydrous) and sodium sulfate, both ACS grade, were acquired from J. T. Baker (Phillipsburg, NJ, USA). The water was previously bidistilled and then deionized using a Millipore Milli-Q system (Bedford, MA, USA).

2.3. Apparatus, analytic conditions and sample preparation

Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) analyses were performed on a Shimadzu liquid chromatography system (Tokyo, Japan), consisting of an SLC-10AVP system controller supporting an LC-10AD pump, a refraction index detector RID-10A and a Rheodyne injection valve with a 100 µl loop. Data acquisition and processing was performed using Class-VP 6.12 and GPC 1.02 for Class-Vp softwares.

The best chromatographic conditions were achieved under aqueous solutions with 3.55 g of Na_2SO_4 per litre (0.5 ml/min, at room temperature) using three columns assembled in line: two Waters Ultrahydrogel linear (7.8 mm i.d. × 300 mm) packed with a blend of different pore size particles (ranging from 250 to 2000 Å), and one Tosoh Bioscience TSK-gel 3000PWxl column (7.8 mm i.d. × 300 mm, pore size of 200 Å).

Stock calibration solutions of dextrans and the dextrose were prepared by separately weighing 20.0 ± 1 mg of the desired standard in 10 volumetric flasks of 5 ml (4000 ppm). The standards in each flask were dissolved and diluted with the mobile phase (0.025 M Na_2SO_4). The calibration curve used to characterize the dextran molecular mass distribution ($\mathrm{Log}M = 0.00021109X^3 - 0.03370649X^2 + 1.62437664X + 16.85697652$; dispersion: 0.08151) was built up by injecting 250 ppm of each standard obtained through the dilution of the stock solutions.

For dextran analysis in sugar samples, preparation was carried out as follows: A sample of 40.0 g of the sugar sample was dissolved in water, and then the volume was adjusted to 100 ml in a volumetric flask. 50 ml of this solution were filtered, using filter paper (80 g/m^2) to remove insoluble particles. 160 ml of anhydrous ethanol were added to a 40 ml aliquot of the filtered solution. After standing 24 h, this solution was centrifuged at 10,000RPM and 4 °C for 1 h (Hitachi Himac – CR20b2). The supernatant solution was discarded and the precipitate was dissolved in 1.5 ml of 0.025 M Na_2SO_4. This solution was cooled to 5 °C and filtered through mixed cellulose ester membrane (Millipore – 0.45 µm pore size × 25 mm Ø). Previously to the injection in the chromatography system, an aliquot of 0.3 ml was tested with iodine solution for the presence of starch (Aquino & Franco, 2008). The tests were all negative.

The insoluble deposits from sugared cachaças were collected directly from the bottles. For the SEC analysis, the deposits were separated from the cachaça through centrifugation at 10,000RPM at 4 °C for 1 h. The precipitate was then dissolved in 0.50 or 1.00 ml of 0.025 M Na_2SO_4 according to the it is amount, and filtered through the same type of membrane, as described above.

3. Results and discussion

A typical SEC dextran chromatogram obtained for a sugar sample, is illustrated in Fig. 1. In this chromatogram, two distinct peaks can be observed at the retention times of 47 and 61 min for dextrans. As will be discussed in this paper, this pattern has often been found in sugar samples used by Brazilian cachaça producers.



Fig. 1. Size-exclusion chromatogram of a sugar sample, where peak 1 corresponds to a dextran with M_w 4.23 × 10^6 Da and peak 2 corresponds to a dextran with M_w 8.10 × 10^4 Da.

The average values for the dextran characterization parameters are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Considering that the M_w is one of the most used parameters as a reference to dextran polymers, the dextrans were arbitrarily classified into three groups according to their M_w: dextrans with M_w > 1 million – Group 1 (high molecular weight), 1 million > M_w > 85,000 – Group 2, and M_w < 85,000 – Group 3 (lower molecular weight or clinical dextrans).

The predominant presence of bi-modal molecular weight distribution (Fig. 1) is observed in 83.3% of the northeast and 84% of the southeast sugar samples. The presence of a single dextran was observed in two sugar samples from the northeast (samples 8 and 9) and in only one from the southeast (sample 20). Only in three samples from the southeast (samples 2, 11, and 18) was it possible to observe a tri-modal molecular mass distribution.

According to the literature, the dextrans of the Groups 1 and 3 are typically formed by the *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* bacterium, which is endogenous and largely found in sugar cane fields (Jiménez, 2005; Shamala & Prasad, 1995; Vedyashkina, Revin, & Gogotov, 2005).

The explanation of the low occurrence of the dextrans from Group 2 in all samples may be the fact that dextrans with M_w up to 10^6 Da are quickly formed during the dextransucrase consumption of sucrose, making the dextrans from Group 1 stable aggregations of dextrans with true M_w values of $10^4 - 5 \times 10^5$ Da (Robyt, Kin, & Yu, 1995; Setford, 1999). Thus, the detected dextrans from Group 2 would only correspond with the remaining non-clustered ones. Another likely hypothesis would be the action of other strains of *Leuconostoc* and other bacteria, such as *Lactobacillus*, which are also found in Brazilian sugar cane fields (Oliveira et al., 2002; Schwan, Mendonça, Silva-Jr, Rodrigues, & Wheals, 2001), resulting in the origin of distinct dextrans. These two factors combined could explain the large variation of the mass distribution values determined in the dextrans of Group 2.

Table 2
Average of dextran molecular mass distribution from the same producers in the period 1997/1998–2000/2001.

Dextran	Southeast region mean of data along the time		
	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3
M_n	2.94 × 10^6	1.83 × 10^5	5.88 × 10^4
M_w	4.60 × 10^6	2.09 × 10^5	6.59 × 10^4
M_z	6.26 × 10^6	2.39 × 10^5	7.54 × 10^4
Polydispersity	1.56	1.14	1.12

Total of 40 samples. One sample from 10 different mills, collected annually over four crop seasons: 1997/1998, 1998/1999, 1999/2000, 2000/2001.

The presence of dextrans with M_w around 5.0 × 10^6 Da, is frequently found in cane juice and sugar originating from canes in some state of deterioration (Brown & Inkerman, 1992). This condition would be favoured by temperatures higher than 25 °C, which will enhance the dextransucrase enzyme activity (Choplin, Moan, Doublier, Paul, & Monsan, 1988; Goyal, Nigam, & Katiyar, 1995). These temperatures are often observed in northeastern sugar fields. Based on the relative abundance of the dextrans from Group 1, it would be tempting, at first, to associate the percentage of these dextrans with the quality of the original sugar cane juice or the geographic origin of the sugar. Although this is partially true, this assumption should be made with care because these dextran levels are certainly also influenced by other aspects, such as the harvest type (manual or mechanical), or the technological process of making the sugar in the mills (Jiménez, 2005; Oliveira et al., 2002; Ravno & Purchase, 2006).

The one-way ANOVA test was applied to the complete data set of dextran molecular mass distribution and their relative abundance, aiming to evaluate the possibility of using the data to obtain regional distributions. This result only shows significant differences between northeastern and southeastern dextrans for polydispersity and relative proportion of the dextrans from Group 1. In agreement with ANOVA, the principal component analysis (PCA) and the hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) did not supply a satisfactory pattern to highlight significant differences between the sugars from these two regions.

The variation of dextran molecular mass distribution profile throughout four consecutive crops was also analyzed in sugar mills from the southeast. As mentioned previously, most of the Brazilian sugar mills are concentrated in this region. The average data are presented in Table 2.

The predominant presence of bi-modal molecular weight distribution applies during the four consecutive crop seasons, being detected in 82.5% of the sugar samples (33 samples). In only one sample (sample 30), was it possible to observe the presence of three different dextrans; and in 7.5% of the sugar samples (samples

Table 1
Average of the characterization parameters of dextran molecular mass distribution in Brazilian sugars during the 2006/2007 crop season.

Dextran	Northeast region			Southeast region		
	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3
M_n	3.77 × 10^6	9.03 × 10^4	4.30 × 10^4	3.39 × 10^6	2.72 × 10^5	4.17 × 10^4
M_w	5.04 × 10^6	1.03 × 10^5	4.79 × 10^4	5.03 × 10^6	3.26 × 10^5	4.91 × 10^4
M_z	6.11 × 10^6	1.28 × 10^5	5.41 × 10^4	7.17 × 10^6	4.15 × 10^5	5.90 × 10^4
Polydispersity	1.34	1.14	1.11	1.48	1.19	1.17

Number-average molecular mass: $M_n = \sum n_i M_i / \sum n_i$, where n_i represents a number of polymer chains with mass M_i.
Weight-average molecular weight: $M_w = \sum n_i M_i^2 / \sum n_i M_i$.
Z-average molecular mass: $M_z = \sum n_i M_i^3 / = \sum n_i M_i^2$.
Polydispersity = M_w/M_n, where this term is used to describe the width of molecular mass distribution of the dextrans.

Table 3
Dextran molecular mass distribution in insoluble deposits from sweetened cachaças.

Sample code	Molecular weight distribution of dextrans in flocks from sugared Cachaça											
	Dextran (range 10^6 Da)				Dextran (range 10^5 Da)				Dextran (range 10^4 Da)			
	M_n	M_w	M_z	M_w/M_n	M_n	M_w	M_z	M_w/M_n	M_n	M_w	M_z	M_w/M_n
1									3.40×10^4	3.53×10^4	3.92×10^4	1.04
2	1.48×10^6	1.60×10^6	1.72×10^6	1.08								
3	4.98×10^6	5.79×10^6	6.63×10^6	1.16	2.78×10^5	3.80×10^5	4.75×10^5	1.36				
4					6.36×10^5	8.13×10^5	1.03×10^6	1.28				
5					7.5×10^4	1.79×10^5	3.48×10^5	2.38				
6					5.19×10^5	6.54×10^5	7.94×10^5	1.26				
7									1.96×10^4	2.14×10^4	2.37×10^4	1.09
8	1.25×10^6	1.99×10^6	2.90×10^6	1.58								
9					1.88×10^5	1.95×10^5	2.03×10^5	1.04				
10					1.40×10^5	1.49×10^5	1.60×10^5	1.06				
11					4.41×10^5	4.85×10^5	5.35×10^5	1.10				
12									2.35×10^4	3.67×10^4	6.29×10^4	1.56

5, 20, and 23), only one dextran was detected. No dextran was found in 3 other sugar samples (samples 11, 15, and 31).

Regarding the dextran polydispersity index (M_w/M_n), which is a measure of the width of a molecular mass distribution, the results are always greater than unity (Alsop & Vlachogiannis, 1982; Chmelík et al., 1997). As can be observed in Tables 1 and 2, the calculated polydispersity in progressive reduction from Group 1 to Group 3 is in agreement with the data generally reported in the literature.

The dextran distribution profiles in terms of M_n, M_w, M_z, and polydispersity, are about the same for the 77 samples analyzed over the five seasons, and, therefore, it is likely that the Brazilian product pattern will not change much over the years.

It is universally accepted that dextran solubility in ethanolic solutions is highly dependent on their molecular weight, and it decreases as a function of the increase of ethanol concentration. This is the basis of the two most used methodologies for dextran analyses: the haze method, in which only low M_w dextrans are analyzed after the precipitation with 50% ethanol (v/v), and the method described by Robert, where the totals of dextrans are precipitates in 80% (v/v) of alcohol (Ravno & Purchase, 2006).

As reported previously by Rodrigues-Filho et al. (2007), based on the total soluble dextran analysis, mass of precipitation formed, and turbidimetric measurements, the precipitation does not occur immediately after the sugar addition, however, it takes place with a half life of around seventy days at (30 ± 1) °C. Thus, the ethanol content in the Brazilian cachaça, usually around 40% (v/v), would explain why the dextrans are not fully precipitated just after the addition of sugar to the cachaça.

The non-uniformity of the filtering process by the producers also accounts for part of the problem. Usually, with the small producers, the filtering is not as efficient as in the industrial distilleries. In the first case, this operation is only suitable for separating large materials, such as insoluble particles of the sugar itself, small pieces of wood from the standardization tanks, and fragments from the casks.

In Brazil, when the cachaça is of the sugared type, around 10 g/l of sugar is usually added to the spirit. According to experimental data from our laboratory, the median values of total dextrans in the sugar typically used by Brazilian producers of cachaça are 820 mg/kg, thus, leading to a final dextran concentration of 8.2 mg/l. However, according to our data, 0.5 mg/l of dextrans in cachaça is already enough to yield a perceptive formation of insoluble deposits (Rodrigues-Filho et al., 2007).

Experiments carried out in our laboratory, under optimized conditions, showed that the filtering is not totally efficient for addressing the deposit formation problem. For dextrans with M_w around 5×10^6 Da, efficiency of retention at 4 °C is near 80% whereas, for the dextrans from Groups 2 and 3, the results were clearly unsatisfactory, showing retention values smaller than 50% (Aquino & Franco, 2008). The contribution of the dextrans from Group 1 in analyzed deposits is only around 25%, which is expected since they are easily separated by filtering.

Considering that the analyzed deposits were not precipitated immediately by the ethanol addition, the predominance of dextrans from Group 2 in the samples (Table 3) is consistent with the considerations discussed above.

In addition to the bottled storage time factor, the insoluble deposits can also be generated through combinations among dextrans and other components of cachaça, for example metal ions, amino acids and phenolic compounds originating from blending. Possible alterations in the dextran structures by acidity of spirit and light incidence can contribute to the formation of insoluble aggregates. This is a subject currently under investigation in our laboratory. The model systems and results will be reported later.

4. Conclusions

The dextran molecular mass distribution profile in Brazilian sugar used by cachaça producers and the insoluble deposits from this spirit are reported for the first time.

The analyzed sugar samples exhibited predominantly the same two dextran groups, with M_w averages of 5.04×10^6; 4.79×10^4 and 5.03×10^6; 4.91×10^4 Da for the northeastern and southeastern samples, respectively. Eventually, a third dextran group with M_w average of 1.03×10^5–3.26×10^5 was found for northeastern and southeastern sugars. This profile holds for the analyzed samples in the other four seasons crops also analyzed.

Despite the fact that dextrans with M_w average in the order of 10^5 Da are less abundant in sugar samples, these dextrans are present in about 58% of the deposit samples.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank CNPq, CAPES and FAPESP for the financial support, Elmo da Silva (Centro de Tecnologia Canavieira – Piracicaba SP) and Roberto Machado de Moraes (Instituto de Tecnologia de Alimentos – Campinas SP) for sugar samples, and Mrs. Margareth Piggott for reviewing the English of this manuscript.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.11.019.

References

Alsop, R. M., & Vlachogiannis, R. M. (1982). Determination of the molecular weight of clinical dextran by gel permeation chromatography on TSK PW type columns. *Journal of Chromatography A, 246*(2), 227–240.

Aquino, F. W. B., Boso, L. M., Cardoso, D. R., & Franco, D. W. (2008). Amino acids profile of sugar cane spirit (cachaça), rum, and whisky. *Food Chemistry, 108*(2), 784–793.

Aquino, F. W. B., & Franco, D. W. (2008). Dextranas em açúcares do estado de São Paulo. *Química Nova, 31*(5), 1034–1037.

Baldani, J. I., Reis, V. M., Baldani, V. L. D., & Döbereiner, J. (2002). A brief story of nitrogen fixation in sugarcane – reasons for success in Brazil. *Functional Plant Biology, 29*(4), 417–423.

Brown, C. F., & Inkerman, P. A. (1992). Specific method for quantitative Measurement of the total dextran content of raw sugar. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 40*(2), 227–233.

Chmelík, J., Chmelíková, J., & Novotny, M. V. (1997). Characterization of dextrans by size-exclusion chromatography on unmodified silica gel columns, with light-scattering detection, and capillary electrophoresis with laser-induced fluorescence detection. *Journal of Chromatography A, 790*(1–2), 93–100.

Choplin, J. S. L., Moan, M., Doublier, J. L., Paul, F., & Monsan, P. (1988). The effect of synthesis temperature on the structure of dextran NRRL B512F. *Carbohydrate Polymers, 9*(2), 87–101.

Edye, L. A. (2004). Sugar quality in soft drink manufacture: The acid beverage flock problem. In F. Shahidi, A. M. Spanier, Chi-Tang Ho, & T. Braggins (Eds.), *Quality of fresh and processed foods. Advances in experimental medicine and biology* (Vol. 542, pp. 317–326). New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenium Publishers.

Eggleston, G., & Harper, W. (2006). Determination of sugarcane deterioration at the factory: Development of a rapid, easy and inexpensive enzymatic method to measure mannitol. *Food Chemistry, 98*(2), 366–372.

Eggleston, G., Legendre, B., & Tew, T. (2004). Indicators of freeze-damaged sugarcane varieties which can predict processing problems. *Food Chemistry, 87*(1), 119–133.

Fernandes, W. J., Cardoso, M. G., Vilela, F. J., De Morais, A. R., Silva, V. F., & Nelson, D. L. (2007). Physicochemical quality of a blend of domestic cachaças from the south of Minas Gerais. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 20*(3–4), 257–261.

Goyal, A., Nigam, M., & Katiyar, S. S. (1995). Optimal conditions for production of dextransucrase from *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* NRLL B-512F and its properties. *Journal of Basic Microbiology, 35*(6), 375–384.

Haynes, L., Zhou, N., & Hopkins, W. (2004). Dextran in, refined sugar: Impact on hard candy processing. In *Proceedings of the 2004 conference on sugar processing research institute* (pp. 138–146). Atlanta: Verlag.

ISO (International Sugar Organization) (2007). 2007 *ISo Sugar year book* (p. 372). ISBN 978-92-990045-0-0. London: International Sugar Organization.

Jiménez, E. R. (2005). The dextranase along sugar-making industry. *Biotecnología Aplicada, 22*(1), 20–27.

Karmarkar, S., Garber, R., Klusa, J., & Koberda, M. (2006). Gel permeation chromatography of dextrans in parenteral solutions: Calibration procedure development and method validation. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biochemical Analysis, 41*(4), 1260–1267.

Khalikova, E., Susi, P., & Korpela, T. (2005). Microbial dextran hydrolyzing enzymes: Fundamentals and applications. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews, 69*(2), 306–325.

Kostanski, L. K., Keller, D. M., & Hamielec, A. E. (2004). Size-exclusion chromatography–a review of calibration methodologies. *Journal of biochemical and biophysical methods, 58*(2), 159–186.

Naessens, M., Cerdobbel, A., Soetaert, W., & Vandamme, E. J. (2005). *Leuconostoc* dextransucrase and dextran: Production, properties and applications. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, 80*(8), 845–860.

Oliveira, A. S., Rinaldi, D. A., Tamanini, C., Voll, C. E., & Hauly, M. C. O. (2002). Factors that interfere in dextran production by sugarcane contaminating microorganisms. *Semina – Ciências Exatas e Tecnológicas, 23*(1), 99–104.

Rauh, J. S., Cuddihy, J. A., Falgout, R. N., & Marquette, M. L. (2003). Dextran test method provides versatility for sugar factory process monitoring. *International Sugar Journal, 105*(1251), 100–103.

Ravno, A. B., & Purchase, B. S. (2006). Dealing with dextran in the South Africa sugar industry. *International Sugar Journal, 108*(1289), 255–269.

Robyt, J. F., Kin, D., & Yu, L. (1995). Mechanism of dextran activation of dextransucrase. *Carbohydrate Research, 266*(2), 293–299.

Rocha, L. B. (2007). Brazil's impact on the world sugar market: An overview and challenges for the industry. *International Sugar Journal, 109*(1305), 541–549.

Rodrigues-Filho, M. G., Leite Neto, A. F., Aquino, F. W. B., Plepis, A. M. G., Rodrigues Filho, U. P., & Franco, D. W. (2007). Quantificação de Dextranas em Açúcares e em Cachaças. *Química Nova, 30*(5), 1115–1118.

Schwan, R. F., Mendonça, A. T., Silva-Jr, J. J., Rodrigues, V., & Wheals, A. E. (2001). Microbiology and physiology of cachaça (aguardente) fermentations. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, 79*(1), 89–96.

Setford, S. J. (1999). Measurement of native dextran synthesis and sedimentation properties by analytical ultracentrifugation. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, 74*(1), 17–24.

Shamala, T. R., & Prasad, M. S. (1995). Preliminary studies on the production of high and low viscosity dextran by *Leuconostoc* spp. *Process Biochemistry, 30*(3), 237–241.

Vedyashkina, T. A., Revin, V. V., & Gogotov, I. N. (2005). Optimizing the conditions of dextran synthesis by the bacterium *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* grown in a molasses-containing medium. *Applied Biochemistry and Microbiology, 41*(4), 361–364.

Further reading

Bolling, C., Suarez, N. R. (2001). *The brazilian sugar industry: Recent developments*. Sugar and Sweetener Outlook. September/2001. (p.17). <http://www.ers.usda.gov/briefing/Brazil/braziliansugar.pdf>.

MAPA (Ministério da Agricultura Pecuária e Abastecimento) 2008. *Estatísticas*. <http://www.agricultura.gov.br/portal/page?_pageid=33,969861&_dad=portal&_schema=PORTAL>.